

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Jeremy Westfall, individually and as Guardian
ad Litem for B.W., a minor,

Plaintiffs,

v.

County of Stanislaus, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:21-cv-00283-KJM-KJN

ORDER


Plaintiffs Jeremy Westfall, et al., filed a notice of request to seal documents, including: (1) “Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Petition for Approval of Minor’s Compromise”; (2) “Declaration of Robert R. Powell in support of the petition” and (3) “[Proposed] Order Re. Plaintiffs’ Ex Parte Petition for Approval of Minor’s Compromise.” ECF No. 71. Though plaintiffs attempt to request ex-parte approval of the minor’s compromise in this case, plaintiffs must abide by the Local Rules and file a motion to do so. *See* E.D. Cal. L.R. 230. As the request to approve the minor’s compromise is not properly brought before the court, the court need not address it at this juncture. Moreover, plaintiffs request to seal the aforementioned documents, namely because they include the minor’s birthdate and minor’s first and last name. As the documents were not properly filed before the court, the court denies plaintiff’s request to seal. If plaintiffs would like to request the court seal any documents associated with a potential motion to approve the minor’s

1 compromise, plaintiffs may submit a request with the court to file narrowly redacted versions in
2 the public docket and to file unredacted versions under seal.

3 This order resolves ECF No. 71.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: December 11, 2023.

6 

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE